Fluoriodomethane: A versatile CH$_2$F Source
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Background

Fluoriodomethane (FCH$_2$I, CAS 373-53-5) is a liquid (bp 53.4$^\circ$C) that is easy to manipulate and useful as a precursor of the fluoromethyl (CH$_2$F) unit. This C1 building block features different reactivity for the two carbon halogen bonds: accordingly, the CH$_2$I linkage can be conveniently used for accomplishing nucleophilic substitution,$^{[1]}$ iodine–metal exchange, or transition-metal catalysed processes, leaving the fluoromethyl motif intact.

Fluoromethylation of Aromatic Rings

In 2015, Hu reported the first example of a straightforward palladium-catalysed direct monofluoromethylation of aryl boronic esters having ICH$_2$I as the fluoromethyl donor unit (Scheme 1).$^{[2]}$ The protocol is particularly attractive because of the mild reaction conditions and the very good functional group tolerance. In this sense, Hu’s procedure improved previous work by Suzuki, which involved the preparation of benzyl fluoride using pinacolboronate (40 equiv.) and stoichiometric palladium.$^{[3]}$

Preparation of a Fluoromethylthiolating Agent

Lu and Shen reported the preparation of a powerful electrophilic mono-fluoromethylthiolating reagent, S-(fluoromethyl)benzenesulphonothioate, by treating PhSO$_2$SNa with ICH$_2$F. The product provided better results than FCH$_2$Cl and was effective under mild conditions (Scheme 2).$^{[4]}$ Subsequently, they investigated the reactivity of various aryl boronic acids in the presence of a copper catalyst and olefins in the presence of a combination of a silver salt and an oxidant to give the corresponding monofluoromethylthioethers in high yields. This strategy, constituting an excellent tool for forming both C(sp$^3$)- and C(sp$^3$)-SCH$_2$F linkages, has a broad scope and can be conveniently adopted for preparing important drug derivatives.

Scheme 1. Hu’s and Suzuki’s monofluoromethylation of arylboronate esters.
In 2017, Pace, Luisi, and co-workers documented an unprecedented direct strategy enabling the nucleophilic fluoromethylation of carbon electrophiles (Scheme 3). The success of the transformation relies on the formation of the labile fluoromethyllithium via a fast iodine–lithium exchange carried out on ICH2F with MeLi–LiBr in a 1 : 1 mixture of THF/Et2O at 78 °C. Such a homologation tactic features a robust scope, thus allowing the straightforward formal insertion of a CH2F fragment into a plethora of electrophiles including carbonyls (aldehydes and ketones for accessing fluorinated alcohols), ω-halocarbonyls (which upon ring closure afford oxygenated cycles), Weinreb amides (as precursors of α-fluoroketones), and imines (for forming β-fluoroamines). Some additional points merit mention: 1) Despite the carbenoidic nature of fluoromethyllithium, full chemoselectivity was observed with substrates bearing sensitive functionalities. 2) Good stereocontrol can be achieved during the addition. 3) The wide scope of the method means that complex structures are tolerated.

**Scheme 2.** Direct electrophilic monofluoromethylthiolation.

**Use as Precursor of Fluoromethyllithium**

In 2017, Pace, Luisi, and co-workers documented an unprecedented direct strategy enabling the nucleophilic fluoromethylation of carbon electrophiles (Scheme 3). The success of the transformation relies on the formation of the labile fluoromethyllithium via a fast iodine–lithium exchange carried out on ICH2F with MeLi–LiBr in a 1 : 1 mixture of THF/Et2O at −78 °C. Such a homologation tactic features a robust scope, thus allowing the straightforward formal insertion of a CH2F fragment into a plethora of electrophiles including carbonyls (aldehydes and ketones for accessing fluorinated alcohols), ω-halocarbonyls (which upon ring closure afford oxygenated cycles), Weinreb amides (as precursors of α-fluoroketones), and imines (for forming β-fluoroamines). Some additional points merit mention: 1) Despite the carbenoidic nature of fluoromethyllithium, full chemoselectivity was observed with substrates bearing sensitive functionalities. 2) Good stereocontrol can be achieved during the addition. 3) The wide scope of the method means that complex structures are tolerated.
**Use in Wittig-Type Olefination**

Upon nucleophilic substitution with triphenylphosphine on $\text{ICH}_2\text{F}$, followed by deprotonation with $n$-butyllithium, Burton showed the formation of the corresponding Wittig-type ylide which reacted with carbonyl compounds giving fluoroolefins (Scheme 4)\(^7\). However, the fact that only modest yields were obtained ($\sim 10–30\%$), and the requirement for an additional base to trigger the collapse of the intermediate betaine, limits the practical applicability of this methodology.

**Conclusions**

Fluoroiodomethane is a convenient reagent with which to introduce the $\text{CH}_2\text{F}$ fragment into organic or organometallic arrays. This is a particularly challenging task in synthetic chemistry as documented by the difficulties found in developing suitable monofluoromethylation strategies\(^8\). The limited stability of $\text{CH}_2\text{F}$-containing carbanions for a long time represented an important challenge for routine use in synthesis. In fact, classical approaches relied on stabilising the putative carbanion through the introduction of a strong electron withdrawing group (e.g. sulfone) whose removal, after accomplishing the desired insertion, was not trivial as this required harsh conditions, e.g. Na(Hg).
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